

**Linking the 2008 Innocenti Process with the
Micronutrient Forum:**
Evidenced-based Programs at Scale

Rolf Klemm

Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
and
A2Z Senior Technical Advisor

Questions

- What recommendations were made by Innocenti participants to the MN Forum-Beijing?
- How have these recommendations been incorporated into the MN Forum program?
- What actions are planned beyond the MN Forum to act on Innocenti recommendations?

What was the “Innocenti Process”?

- Unicef Innocenti Research Center, Florence Italy
- Based on critical review of evidence from real-world programs implemented at scale
- Engage and give “center stage” to views of country-level program managers and implementers
- Build consensus among key stakeholder groups on:
 - what programs have worked (strength of evidence)
 - what makes those programs work
 - what needs more work

Innocenti Recommendation

- Incorporate technical sessions that clarify technical issues and provide guidance on effective program implementation
- Highlight “what works” and “what needs MORE work”

What Has Worked at Scale?

Innocenti Consensus

What Has Worked? Strength of Evidence

Reasonably strong evidence of effective implementation and impact at scale

Need further confirmation of implementation effectiveness and impact

Lack implementation experience at large scale but hold promise as emerging interventions

Program Plenary Sessions

- “State of the Art”
 - Strength of evidence on program effectiveness
 - Features associated with program success
 - Barriers to implementation at scale
 - Remaining challenges
 - **Innocenti Consensus Statement for each program intervention**
- Case Studies
- Questions and Answers
- Summary and Conclusion

Innocenti Recommendation

- Guidance on ways to:
 - (1) assess implementation problems, develop and test solutions; and
 - (2) document “what works” to improve our evidence-base
 - (3) share transferable innovations and good practices with other program stakeholders.

Innocenti Recommendation

**Synthesize and
distribute Consensus
Statements drafted at
Innocenti**

Innocenti Report

Coming Soon to a website near you!

www.micronutrientforum.org




**SCALING UP MICRONUTRIENT PROGRAMS:
WHAT WORKS AND WHAT NEEDS MORE WORK?**

The 2008 Innocenti Process

Innocenti Recommendation

- Create opportunities to build bridges between the key stakeholder groups (Program Implementers, Global Partners, Scientists, Private Sector)

Paucity of evidence on effectiveness of micronutrient programs in real life settings



The Power of Measuring Results


- If you do not measure results, you cannot tell success from failure.
- If you cannot see success, you cannot reward it.
- If you cannot reward success, you are probably rewarding failure.
- If you cannot see success, you cannot learn from it.
- If you cannot recognize failure, you cannot correct it.
- If you can demonstrate results, you can win public support.

Adapted from Osborne & Gaebler 1992.

“We value what we measure. We measure what we value.”

Please complete Meeting Evaluation Form

Can be completed online at
www.micronutrientforum.org



Micronutrient Forum Secretariat
A2Z Project Academy for Educational
Development
1525 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20009 USA
Tel: 202 854 8970
Fax: 202 464 3998
Email: mforum@aed.org
www.micronutrientforum.org

Local Organizing Committee
Chinese Center for Disease Control
and Prevention
65/ Focal Point China
27 Nan Wei Road
Beijing 100050
P.R. China
Tel: 0086-10-81132319
www.chinacdc.net.cn

**Micronutrient Forum
Beijing, China
May 2009**

Meeting Evaluation Form

The Micronutrient Forum Secretariat would like your feedback on the content, format, and usefulness of the meeting. Your opinions and suggestions are important for planning future Micronutrient Forum meetings.

Place a check mark (✓) in the response box that most closely represents your opinion. Please check only one answer.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	NA
Overall this meeting was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
What is your response to the following statements?	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The meeting matched my expectations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Compared to other meetings of this type that you have attended, this meeting was excellent.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The meeting provided an update on the latest scientific findings in micronutrient research.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The meeting provided an update on the latest implementation strategies for micronutrient programs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. The meeting achieved the appropriate balance between scientific research, policy, and programs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. The length of the plenary sessions was just about right.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. There was sufficient time for formal discussion in the plenary sessions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. There was sufficient time for informal discussion.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. I found the poster sessions useful.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. There was adequate time to view the posters.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. The exhibits were useful.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Secretariat staff was helpful and courteous.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. The satellite sessions were useful and complemented the main meeting.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. I would recommend this meeting to others	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. I plan to attend this meeting again in 2011	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Over)

Acknowledgements

- Dr. Emorn Wasantwisut
- Dr. Phil Harvey
- Dr. David Pelletier
- Silvana Faillace
- A2Z Micronutrient and Child Blindness Project